(3)

CF 100

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C G)

WRIT PETITION NO. 2603 OF 2003

PETITIONER : Anil Kumar Gawande, Son of Pundlik Rao Gawande, aged about 42 years working as A.S.I. (Motor Transport Department) Range : Police Motor Workshop, Bilaspur, Police Line, Bilaspur (C G).

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS :

1. Union of India, through the Secretary, Department of Personnel/Public Grievances and Pension, Third Floor, Lok Nayak Bhawan, Khan Market, New Delhi.

2. The Advisory Committee (Presided over by Shri Lohani Constituted Under Section 71 of the M.P. Re-organisation Act, 2000, Department of General Administration, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal. (M.P.).

3. State of Madhya Pradesh, through : The Secretary, Department of Home Affairs, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.).

4. State of Chhattisgarh through : The Secretary, Department of Home Affairs, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Building Raipur (C.G.).

P. M. No. 21/30/03
Presented by Shri S. Ramesh
dated 19.08.03

RECEIVED COPY.
19.8.03
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

Copy received
19.8.03
(V.V.S. Murthy)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

.... 2/-

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri.**

Writ Petition No. 2603 of 2003

Petitioner — Anil Kumar Gawande

Versus

Respondents — Union of India & others

Shri Rajndra Tripathi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri S. K. Beriwal, Standing counsel for Respondent No. 1.

Shri Sachin Singh Rajput for Respondent No. 2 & 3.

Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel lawyer for the respondent No. 4.

ORAL ORDER

(8th February, 2006)

The following order of the Court was passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was working as A.S.I. in the Range Police Motor Workshop, Bilaspur. The petitioner is permanent resident of the district in the State of Madhya Pradesh. The petitioner has been allocated to the State of Chhattisgarh and he wants his reallocation to the State of Madhya Pradesh. Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instruction, submits that the petitioner be granted liberty to make a representation to the respondents for redressal of his grievances. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents have no objection to the said submission.

2. In view of the foregoing, the petition stands disposed of finally, with liberty to the petitioner to make an appropriate representation to the respondents/authorities concerned, within a period of two weeks from today, and the respondents/authorities are directed to consider and decide the same, in an objective manner, in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the representation, if any.

Certified copy, as per Rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur